

REMARKS

The following remarks are provided in response to the Office Action mailed August 10, 2007 in the present matter.

Claims 1-33 were examined and rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,618,388. However, claims 1-33 were cancelled in a Preliminary Amendment filed concurrently with the application, and new claims 34-74 were presented for examination on the merits in this continuation application.

The undersigned's assistant spoke with Examiner Hyun on October 3, 2007 regarding this issue, and Examiner Hyun confirmed that the wrong claims were examined. The Examiner asked that the Applicants file a response to the outstanding Office Action explaining the situation in the Remarks section, and indicated that a new non-final Office Action would then be issued which addresses claims 34-74.

The claim listing presented herein is a copy of the claims as filed with the Preliminary Amendment on July 10, 2003. No new matter has been introduced.

CONCLUSION

The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is believed that such contact would further the examination of the present application.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a)(3), applicant(s) hereby request and authorize the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to (1) treat any concurrent or future reply that requires a petition for extension of time as incorporating a petition for extension of time for the appropriate length of time and (2) charge all required fees, including extension of time fees and fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 and 1.17, to Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, L.L.P.

October 4, 2007

Date

1279 Oakmead Parkway
Sunnyvale, CA 94085-4040
Telephone: (503) 439-8778
Facsimile: (503) 439-6073

/Justin K. Brask/

Justin K. Brask

Reg. No. 61,080